I Mina'Trentai Tres Na Liheslaturan Received Bill Log Sheet

						PUBLIC	DATE	
BILL			DATE	DATE	CMTE	HEARING	COMMITTEE	
NO.	SPONSOR	TITLE	INTRODUCED	REFERRED	REFERRED	DATE	REPORT FILED	FISCAL NOTES
	James V. Espaldon	AN ACT TO AMEND §§ 3101, 3103, 3109, 3111,	07/26/16	07/26/16	Committee on the			Fiscal Note
	Mary C. Torres	3113, 3115, 3117, 3119, 3121, 3123, AND 3125	10:07 a.m.		Guam U.S. Military			Request
		OF CHAPTER 31, TITLE 15, GUAM CODE			Relocation, Public			07/26/16
		ANNOTATED, RELATIVE TO ESTATES OF SMALL			Safety and Judiciary			Fiscal Note
		VALUE.						09/07/16

Mina'trentai Tres na Liheslaturan Guåhan • The 33rd Guam Legislature 155 Hesler Place, Hagatña, Guarn 96910 • www.guamlegislature.com E-mail: roryforguam@gmail.com • Tel: (671)472-7679 • Fax: (671)472-3547

Senator Rory J. Respicio CHAIRPERSON MAIORITY LEADER

September 7, 2016

Memorandum

Senator Thomas C. Ada VICE CHAIRPERSON ASSISTANT MAJORITY LEADER

To:

Rennae Meno

Clerk of the Legislature

Speaker From: Judith T.P. Won Pat, Ed.D.

Senator Rory J. Respicio

Chairperson of the Committee on Rules

Vice-Speaker Benjamin J.F. Cruz Member

Member

Subject:

Fiscal Notes

Legislative Secretary Tina Rose Muna Barnes Member Hafa Adai!

Senator Dennis G. Rodriguez, Jr.

Member

Attached please find the fiscal notes for the bill numbers listed below. Please note that the fiscal notes are issued on the bills as introduced.

Please forward the same to MIS for posting on our website. Please contact

our office should you have any questions regarding this matter.

Senator

Frank Blas Aguon, Jr.

Member

FISCAL NOTES:

Bill No. 346-33(COR) Bill No. 354-33(COR)

Bill No. 366-33(COR)

Bill No. 368-33(LS)

Senator Michael F.O. San Nicolas

Member

Senator

Nerissa Bretania Underwood

Member

V. Anthony Ada MINORITY LEADER

Mary C. Torres MINORITY MEMBER Si Yu'os ma'åse'!

Bureau of Budget & Management Research Fiscal Note of Bill No. 354-33 (COR)

AN ACT TO AMEND §§ 3101, 3103, 3109, 3111, 3113, 3115, 3117, 3119, 3121, 3123, AND 3125 OF CHAPTER 31, TITLE 15, GUAM CODE ANNOTATED, RELATIVE TO ESTATES OF SMALL VALUE.

		Denartment/	Agency Appropriati	an Information							
Dent /Angerry Aff	ected: Unified Judic			Dept/Agency Head:	Pohert I. Torres, C	hi=f Inctice					
		propriation(s) to date		Department, mean	Routing, tottes, c.	********	***				
Department's Ge	Germ Lawa (GE) whi	roprimonts, is sate				33,043,	444				
Department's Other Fund (Safe Streets Fund) appropriation(s) to date:											
Total Department/Agency Appropriation(s) to date:											
		Fund Source Is	formation of Propo	sed Appropriation							
			General Fund:	(Specify Special Fund):	Totai:						
FY 2015 Unreser	ved Fund Balance			\$0		\$0					
FY 2016 Adopted	Revenues			\$0	\$8		\$0				
FY 2016 Appro. (P.L. 33-66 thru	<u> </u>		\$0	\$0		50				
Sub-total:				\$0	\$0		ŞĐ				
Less appropriation	on in Bill			\$0	\$0		ŞO				
Total:			\$0	\$0		\$0					
		Esti	mated Fiscal Impact	of Bill							
	One Full Fiscal Year	For Remainder of FY 2016 (if applicable)	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020					
General Fund	1/	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0				
(Specify Special Fund)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0				
Total	1/	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0		<u>\$0</u>				
If Yes, see attacht 2. Is amount app If no, what is t 3. Does the Bill et If yes, will the Is there a feder 4. Will the enact 5. Was Fiscal No	ment ropriated adequate he additional amous stablish a new progr program duplicate o ral mandate to estab ment of this Bill requ te coordinated with	to fund the intent of to trequired? \$ am/agency? existing programs/age lish the program/age aire new physical faci the affected dept/age of received by due da	encles? ncy? ilities? ncy? If no, indicate	Other:	/ / Yes	/X/ No // No /X/ Na // No /X/ No /X/ No /X/ No // No					
Analyst: //		Date: VIII	Director:	W Call	~ Jodie: U	2010					
1,27	n Guerrero		4 4 7	Jose S. Calvo, Dire		A					

Footnotes:

1/ Based on information provided by the Judiciary of Guom, the current probate filing fee is \$300 while closing costs are based on the value of the estate of which the current fee schedule sets the maximum fee to calculate an estate's value at \$5,000. The Judiciary does not keep statistical data regarding the value of estates in the normal course of business. Upon their review of probate information from 2014, there were 119 cases filed. Of the 119 cases, 35 had an estimated value below \$75,000 and 84 were valued above \$75,000. It would be difficult to predict how the change will affect the filing of probate cases absent additional information as it is currently unclear whether the filing of non-probate administration cases is based on the value of the estate (\$20,000 or less) or if the case filings do not meet the statutory requirements of Title 15 GCA, Chapter 31, Artice 2. Should the Bill be enacted into law, the Judiciary will experience a loss in filing fees and closing costs for estates valued at less than \$75,000.